

In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M-25282-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 01, 2019

Krishan @ Balkishan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents no. 7 and 8.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that after filing of the present petition, FIR has been registered against one of the person and his grievance as regards to taking of action on representation (Annexure P/3), stands already redressed. As regards to providing protection of life and liberty to the present petitioner, learned State counsel contended that State is ready to provide adequate protection to the petitioner and when required and for that purpose, petitioner may approach the Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh.

In view of that, present petition is disposed of with a direction that in case threat perception still persists, qua the present petitioner, he may move a written representation seeking protection in his favour before the

Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh and respondent no.4 –
Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh shall take required action, as per
law.

October 01, 2019
vkd

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No