

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8150-2019(O&M)  
Date of decision-27.03.2019**

**Kirpal Singh and another**

**...Petitioners**

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.K. Saini, A.A.G., Haryana.

**\*\*\***

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to not to permit respondent No.3 to install the mobile tower in the residential area (Abadi Deh) and to demolish the site already built partly for installation of the tower.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa to consider and decide representation dated 11.03.2019 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. S.K. Saini, A.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa to consider and decide the representation dated 11.03.2019 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioners is not admissible to them, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

**27.03.2019***ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No