

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26109-2018

Date of decision: 26.08.2019

Harminder Deep Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. N.S. Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. C.S. Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.74 dated 21.04.2018 under Sections 455, 511, 506, 427, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Maqsudan, District Jalandhar.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 15.06.2018: -

“....Counsel for the petitioner submits that in CRM-M-19974-2018, the following order has been passed, on 10.05.2018:-

“It is stated that there was a minor dispute between the parties, as a result of which, an FIR on the basis of wrong allegations has been lodged by the complainant against the

petitioner. Petitioner is stated to be an old aged woman of 61 years.

Notice of motion for 25.07.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Under such circumstances, the petitioner is directed to join investigation by contacting the Investigating Officer within 5 days from today and render all sort of cooperation. The petitioner has to surrender her Passport before the Investigating Officer, if she has got one, otherwise to furnish affidavit in that regard. If, in the meanwhile, she is arrested, she be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer....”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel has filed the affidavit of DSP, Sub Division, Kartarpur, District Jalandhar (Rural) in the Court today and it is stated in para No.3 that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant has however opposed the bail on the ground that complainant is still at threat at the hands of accused persons.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 15.06.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

26.08.2019

vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No