

CRM-M-26025-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision:13.02.2019

Sukhwinder Singh Sandhu ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Angad Preet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to take action against respondent No.5 on the representation moved by the petitioner, in which he has alleged that respondent No.5 has caused injuries to the petitioner; inviting Section 323 of IPC.

Notice of motion was issued and the State was directed to file reply to the petition.

Reply on behalf of the State was filed way back on 20.09.2016. Thereafter, the case was adjourned every time on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner.

The reply filed by the State categorically says that the inquiry has been conducted into the representation made by the petitioner. The claim made by the petitioner has been found to be false. The MLR, on the basis of which the inquiries were sought to be substantiated by the petitioner

have also been found to be false. There is no counter by the petitioner to the reply filed by the State.

In view of the above factual situation, this Court does not deem it appropriate to keep the matter pending, since no further orders are required by this Court.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail any other alternate remedy; strictly in accordance with law.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

13.02.2019

Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No