

113

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.2016 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.03.2019

MAHENDER KADYAN

.....Petitioner

Vs

DALER SINGH AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

[1]. Petitioner has challenged the order dated 21.12.2018 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Karnal.

[2]. Vide the impugned order, the directions have been issued to implead the Gram Panchayat in the suit as necessary party in view of status of the Gram Panchayat being that of owner in the revenue record. The Gram Panchayat was shown to be owner of the suit property and the same was recorded in possession of Arjun Singh and Baldev Singh sons of Jagir Singh.

[2]. In column No.9 of the *jamabandi* for the year 2013-14, *Bila Lagan Be Wajah No Tod* was recorded. Earlier to that as

per *khasra girdawari* for the year 1983-84, the land in question was shown in possession of Jagir Singh and entries of '*Bila Lagan Be Wajah No Tod* remain *badstoor*.

[3]. In view of status of the Gram Panchayat being that of owner, relationship of landlord and tenant existed between the parties and Gram Panchayat as a necessary party has to be impleaded for effective adjudication of the case.

[4]. In view of above, no indulgence can be granted in this revision petition. The same is accordingly dismissed.

March 26, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No