

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26012-2018

Date of Decision: 30.05.2019

Parmod Rishi Dev @ Raju

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of U.T. Chandigarh

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumit Kalyan, Advocate for
Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, A.P.P., for U.T. Chandigarh.

...

Inderjit Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner Parmod Rishi Dev @ Raju has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.97 dated 10.05.2018, registered at Police Station North, District Chandigarh, under Sections 341, 307 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion was issued.

Learned counsel for U.T. Chandigarh has appeared on behalf of the respondent-U.T. Chandigarh and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for U.T. Chandigarh and have gone through the record.

As per the prosecution version, no injury has been attributed to the present petitioner.

In pursuance of the interim order dated 14.06.2018, passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation. He is not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from him.

No useful purpose would be served by sending him to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The order dated 14.06.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

30.05.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No