

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1122 of 2019
Date of decision: 4.7.2019

Surjeet Kumar

.. Petitioner

v.

Shri Depinder Singh Dhesi and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. A. S. Khara, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading that there is wilful disobedience of the order dated 13.12.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 30265 of 2018. The said order is reproduced below:

“Petitioner seeks a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to accept the application of the petitioner and to consider him for appointment as per notification, Annexure P-3. It has been urged before the court that application of the petitioner was not accepted and same was not verified by Amateur Kabaddi Federation of India (respondent No. 4 herein).

Admittedly the Federation is at present headed by an Administrator appointed under the order of Delhi High Court vide order dated 11.9.2018 in W.P. (C) 4601 of 2013 titled

as Mahipal Singh & ors. Vs. UOI & ors.

Learned State counsel submits that grievance of the petitioner shall be considered by the Administrator as per Rules and facts and circumstances of each case at the earliest in any case not later than six weeks.

Petitioner is satisfied with the aforesaid statement.

In view of above, no further direction is necessary.

Petition is hereby disposed of.”

Reply by way of affidavit of Bhupinder Singh, IAS, Director, Department of Sports and Youth Affairs, Haryana, Panchkula filed in court today is taken on record. It has been stated therein that certificates of the petitioner have been verified by the Administrator of Amateur Kabaddi Federation of India and the certificates have been sent to the Chief Secretary for further process. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Azad Singh, Deputy Superintendent, Sports Department, Haryana submits that needful shall be done within four weeks from today.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for State, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

However, it is clarified that in case the statement made is not honoured, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition which shall be at the cost and responsibility of the defaulting official.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

4.7.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No