

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Date of decision:27.11.2019

1. CRM-M-13584 of 2019 (O&M)

Randeep Singh @ Ghuggi vs State of Punjab

2. CRM-M-3674 of 2019

Paviter Singh @ Faugga vs State of Punjab and others

3. CRM-M-7245 of 2019

Randeep Singh @ Ghuggi vs State of Punjab and others

4. CRM-M-25214 of 2019

Paviter Singh @ Faugga vs State of Punjab

5. CRM-M-19537 of 2019

Randeep Singh @ Ghuggi vs State of Punjab and others

224+277
(5 cases)

....

Present: Mr.Pranav Handa, Advocate, for
Mr.Sushant Kohli, Advocate,
for the petitioners
Mr.Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab

...

These cases have been taken up out of turn upon this Court seeing that an officer was present in Court, with learned State counsel submitting that he has been summoned vide an order dated 8.7.2019, he being the Superintendent of Central Jail, Kapurthala.

It is seen that vide the aforesaid order, the Superintendent of Central Jail, Kapurthala, was directed to remain personally present in Court to explain as to why, qua an incident that took place in jail on 27.7.2018, an

FIR was registered only on 5.8.2018, alleging therein the commission of

offences punishable under Sections 307/323/341/506 of the IPC.

Today, learned State counsel has filed the affidavit of the Superintendent, Central Jail, Kapurthala, in Court, wherein it is stated that as a matter of fact the information as regards the occurrence on 27.7.2019 was sent to the SHO, Police Station Kotwali, District Kapurthala, on 28.7.2018 itself, but that eventually the FIR was registered on 5.8.2018.

Though of course, even information being sent to the police station one day after the incident would not be in order in my opinion, with the FIR having having been registered only 7 days thereafter, however, learned State counsel has submitted that the petitions by which the petitioners seek the concession of the bail, i.e. CRM-M-13584 of 2019 and CRM-M-25214 of 2019, and the other petitions, i.e. CRM-M-3674 of 2019, CRM-M-7245 of 2019 and CRM-M-19537 of 2019, by which the petitioners seek directions, have been rendered infructuous, with the petitioners having been acquitted by the trial Court.

Disposed of as such.

The affidavit of the Superintendent of Jail, Kapurthala, is ordered to be taken on record.

A photocopy of this order be also placed on the files of the other connected matters.

27.11.2019
pk/dinesh

(AMOLRATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No