

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.2009 of 2019
Date of Decision: 26.03.2019**

SUJATA SOOD AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

PRADEEP KUMAR SOOD AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Arnav Kumar Sood, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

[1]. Petitioners/plaintiffs have filed this revision petition seeking issuance of necessary directions to the trial Court to consider the pending application for vacation of stay at the earliest.

[2]. Brief facts are that the stay was granted at the time of filing of the suit. Respondent No.3 was to file written statement on 22.02.2018, but he was proceeded against *ex parte* on that very day and thereafter on the next date, he filed an application for recalling of *ex parte* order and the same was allowed on 14.05.2018. Respondent No.3 again afforded opportunity to file written statement and the same was filed on 25.07.2018 and

thereafter case was adjourned for filing reply to the stay application. Reply to the stay application has already been filed by the respondents on 10.09.2018.

[3]. Only grievance of the petitioners at this stage is that the application for vacation of stay be considered by the trial Court at the earliest.

[4]. In view of facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct the trial Court to consider the pending application for vacation of stay at the instance of respondent No.3 at the earliest and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

March 26, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No