

CRM-M-13323-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13323-2019

Date of Decision: 03.04.2019

Hasin

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this second petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.61 dated 07.04.2018, registered at Police Station Pinangwan, under Sections 420, 379-B, 395 and 397 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

Perusal of record shows that first petition filed by the petitioner

CRM-M-13323-2019

view of nature and gravity of the offence; in view of serious allegations against him and further in view of the fact that he is required for custodial interrogation. Now, no change in circumstances has been shown to this Court for grant of anticipatory bail. Serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and nothing has been mentioned as to how the petitioner is now not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of nature and gravity of the offence and in view of serious allegations levelled against the petitioner and in view of the fact that he is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where he is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

03.04.2019

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No