

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-13186 of 2019

.....

Date of decision:30.04.2019

Bharat Pal

...Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana for
the respondent-State.

Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.611 dated 15.6.2018 registered for
the offences under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 506, 302, 452 and 307 IPC
and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station City Ballabgarh,
District Faridabad.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, learned Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Shiv
Kumar, learned Advocate has appeared for the complainant and contested
this petition.

[2]

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

A perusal of the record shows that Bharat Pal-petitioner is named in the FIR. Though, specific injury has not been attributed to the present petitioner, but it is mentioned that the other assailants also caused injuries with Lathis, Dandas and kick blows. In the present case, charges have not been framed yet and the case is at preliminary stage. There is every chance of tampering with the evidence.

Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, nature and gravity of the offences, I do not find it a fit case where the present petitioner is entitled to the benefit of regular bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in this petition, the same is dismissed.

April 30, 2019.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No