

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-13290 of 2019

Decided on : 29.04.2019

Abhay Sandhu alias Monu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present : Mr.P.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.Sukhdeep Singh Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.159 dated 23.2.2019 (Annexure P/1) under Sections 323, 342, 384, 34 IPC and Section 83 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act 2015, registered at Police Station Karnal Civil Lines, District Karnal,

The FIR was registered on the basis of statement given by complainant Parveen Bajaj, wherein it was alleged that on 23.2.2019 a call was received from mobile No.99961-34433 informing the complainant that his son, namely, Dhruv Bajaj is in the custody of caller and will be released on repayment of Rs.32,000/- which was lost by the son of the petitioner in cricket betting. It was further informed that his I-Phone and a cheque was also with the caller. The complainant, thereafter, talked to his son, who informed that two months back Sanjot Kumar introduced him to the petitioner, who was engaged in the business of financing people for cricket betting.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that investigation

of the case is complete and the challan has been filed before the court on 22.4.2019. According to him, co-accused, namely Sanoj @ Sanjot Kumar has been extended the concession of bail by this Court on 20.03.2019 in CRM-M-11037 of 2019.

On the other hand, the bail application is opposed by learned State counsel. However, it is also not disputed that the petitioner is in judicial custody and no more required for investigation, which is complete.

Considering the above background, the custody of the petitioner and the fact that he is no more required for further custodial investigation, his further detention may not be justified. Resultantly, without meaning any expression on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court, Karnal.

The petition is allowed.

[MANOJ BAJAJ]
JUDGE

29.04.2019

sd

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether Reportable

: Yes/No