

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.112

CRM-M-13337-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 25.3.2019

Satnam Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Abnash Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The allegations of the petitioner are that he is being threatened by the police at the behest of respondent No.6, who has agreed to purchase land belonging to respondent No.8. Respondent No.6 wants the target date for execution of sale deed to be extended and he is pressuring the petitioner to prevail upon respondent No.8 for the said purpose. However, the petitioner was only involved in getting the deal finalized between respondents No.6 and 8 and he is not in a position to get the target date extended.

Notice of motion.

Mr. K.S Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5. Let 06 copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply, at this stage.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the contents of this petition and to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary, within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

25.3.2019

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes/ No