

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.2021 of 2019

Date of Decision:05.04.2019

Pardeep Kaur @ Pushpa Devi

.....APPELLANT

Vs.

**District Election Officer-cum-Deputy Commissioner
and others**

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. RAVI RANJAN

Present: Mr.Vimal Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.

DR. RAVI RANJAN, J.(Oral)

Pursuant to the notices having been issued in this case under dasti mode on 26.03.2019, Mr. Suresh Kumar, Advocate and Mr.Deepak Basatia, Advocate have put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 3 and 4 respectively by filing their Memo of Appearance in Court today. Let the same be taken in record.

I have heard learned counsel for the appellant as well as respondents No. 3 and 4.

It appears that respondents No. 3 and 4 have appeared after service of the notice for the reasons best known to them, they have not filed any Power of Attorney on behalf of the concerned respondents rather only Memo of Appearances have been filed by them.

Be that as it may, in view of the nature of the order which this Court is proposing to pass in the present case, the same would be of no consequence.

This Civil Revision has been filed by the appellant under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to Ld. Civil Judge, (Sr. Divn.), Jagadhri to decide the Election Petition under Section 176 of Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 bearing CIS No.2 of 2017 titled as 'Pardeep Kaur Vs. District Officer etc.' expeditiously.

It is contended by learned counsel for the appellant that unnecessary delay is occurring in this matter on account of the fact that for cross-examination of one Pradeep Kaur, several long adjournments have been given by the concerned Court at the request of the defendants which is apparent from different orders of the Court concerned.

This Court would not go into such questions, however, since it is contended that the tenure of the Sarpanch would be concluded within a further period of one and half years and the challenge is to the election of the concerned Sarpanch, in my considered view, the election case requires to be disposed of expeditiously.

Accordingly, I would direct the Court concerned to dispose of the matter in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of six months.

As a result, this revision petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(DR. RAVI RANJAN)
JUDGE

05.04.2019

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No