

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 2372-2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 08.04.2019

Charanjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

Sadhu Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 29.1.2019 Annexure P-1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Baghapurana, vide which, his application under Order IX Rule 7 CPC was dismissed. Present petitioner was defendant no.10 in the said suit.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the file.

A perusal of the impugned order reveals that the petitioner was duly served through summons and it was witnessed by Darshan Singh Chowkidar. When the same was put to learned counsel for the petitioner, he stated that his signatures were fraudulently taken on the summons by the co-defendant. In any case, it is not denied that defendant had signed the summons. The summons were served on 26.7.2017. Present petitioner

defendant no.10 remained silent for about two years. Now, the case is fixed for rebuttal and arguments. The trial Court has already granted permission to the present petitioner to join the proceedings from the present stage. There is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Resultantly, the present petition stands dismissed.

08.04.2019

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No