

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CRM-M No.25564 of 2018

Date of Decision: 08.01.2019

Parvinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. N.S. Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. D.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and as per FSL report, the signatures of the complainant are similar to his specimen signatures.

Learned State counsel has also affirmed the factum of joining of investigation.

However, learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that complainant signs initial, whereas, on the agreement to sell, full signatures are there and no conclusive opinion is given in the FSL report.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and the allegations are the matter of evidence, which shall be tested by the trial Court, the interim order passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

08.01.2019

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No