

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-25438 of 2018

Date of Decision: 07.05.2019

Neelam Sodhi & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Khattar, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, who are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the complainant, in case FIR No.00034 dated 28.05.2018 under Sections 406/498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners have joined investigation in terms of order of this Court dated 08.06.2018.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Poonam

Sharma, does not dispute the aforesaid fact, however, he submits that

recovery of some gold articles, which can be seen from the photographs of the marriage, is yet to be effected from the petitioners.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioners, who are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the complainant, have joined investigation and the fact as to how much is the jewellery to be recovered, is yet to be established during the course of trial, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 08.06.2018 is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

May 07, 2019
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No