

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

Crl. Misc. No.M- 12973 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 20.03.2019

Harpreet Singh

....Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Deepak Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.17 dated 23.01.2019 registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur, under Section 420 IPC.

The allegations in the FIR are that the petitioner took a sum of money from the complainant for getting him employed on a permanent job. However, he failed to get the job for the complainant and the money was also not returned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complainant is about 46 years of age and is a practicing doctor. There is a civil dispute between the parties and the FIR is only a means adopted by the complainant to pressurize the petitioner. The story is totally unbelievable and, thus, the petitioner deserves to be granted anticipatory bail.

The Police has investigated the matter and has found that a total of ₹ 4.40 lacs was paid by the complainant to the petitioner, out of which a sum of ₹ 1.35 lacs was returned. At that time, a writing was executed by the petitioner that the balance amount of ₹ 3.05 lacs would be repaid within a

period of two months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner admits that the
aforementioned writing was executed but he said that the same was executed
under police pressure.

The writing referred to herein-above is a crucial piece of
evidence. Since the petitioner admits that he has executed the same, the story
put-forth through the FIR is proved to be correct. Thus, it does not lie in the
mouth of the petitioner to contend that story is unbelievable.

In view of the above, there is no merit in the petition and the
same is accordingly dismissed.

However, nothing stated herein-above shall be construed as an
expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

March 20, 2019
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No