IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 7839 of 2019
Decided on : 25.03.2019

Mohinder Pal

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

The Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals),
Rohtak and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. Mohinder Pal, petitioner in-person.

****

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for quashing of the orders dated 10th December, 2015 (Annexures P-12 & P-13), dated 28th June, 2016 (Annexure P-17) and dated 07th August, 2018 (Annexure P-23). Besides above, certain other prayers have also been made.

2. After arguing for sometime, the petitioner, who appears in-person states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to take recourse to the alternative remedies as are available to him, in accordance with law. It was not disputed that the order dated 07th August, 2018 (Annexure P-23), passed by the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) [in short 'the CIT(A)'] is appealable before the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT) under the provisions of Income Tax Act, 1961 (in short 'the Act').

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 25, 2019
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No