

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13385 of 2019

Date of decision: May 16, 2019

Shadab Siddiqui

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Rahul Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.166 dated 11.12.2018, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Buria, District Yamuna Nagar.

On 25.03.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that none of the injuries alleged in the present case are on any vital part of the body of the injured Udaiveer alias Sonu. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation. Also contends that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel to verify the pendency of any other criminal case against the petitioner.

Adjourned to 16.05.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate

bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub Inspector Raj Kumar has submitted that petitioner has joined investigation in terms of order dated 25.03.2019 and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 25.03.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

May 16, 2019
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: **Yes**

Whether Reportable: **No**