

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7902 of 2019
Date of decision : 25.03.2019

Meena Devi and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma Bhana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J. (oral)

Petitioners are worked as part time Sweepers in the office of respondent No.5 from 2011 and 2013 till 2014. They are seeking the benefit of instructions dated 21.10.2010 (Annexure P-2) and 23.07.2018 (Annexure P-3), vide which, a conscious decision has been taken that part time employees should not be replaced by another contractual employees and they should be given priority to continue to work even after the contractor is changed.

With regard to their grievance, petitioners have served a legal notice dated 28.11.2018 (Annexure P-4) upon respondent Nos. 1 to 5 stating that they should be allowed to rejoin keeping in view that they had worked from 2011/2013 till November, 2014. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that fresh appointments have been given to respondent Nos.6 to 16 by ignoring the petitioners despite the above said instructions dated 21.10.2010 & 23.07.2018 (Annexures P-2 & P-3).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Copy of this petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of by giving direction to official respondent Nos.1 to 5 to look into the legal notice dated 28.11.2018 (Annexure P-4) and pass appropriate order(s) expeditiously, in accordance with law.

25.03.2019
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No