

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**(121)**

**CWP-7743-2019**

**Date of Decision: March 20, 2019**

**Ranjeet Singh**

**.. Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd and ors    .. Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present:     Ms. Anjali Chouhan, Advocate, for  
                 Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)**

In the present writ petition, the challenge is to the order dated 18.10.2018/26.11.2018 (Annexure P-16 Colly.) by which the claim of the petitioner for the grant of promotional increment has been rejected by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though the case of the petitioner has been rejected in November, 2018 but the clarification which was issued by the respondent-Corporation on 21.08.2018 and 01.10.2018, have not been taken into consideration by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of the clarification dated 21.08.2018 and 01.10.2018, the case of the petitioner is squarely covered for the grant of benefit of grant of 23 years promotional increment and therefore, the respondents have passed an order rejecting the claim without taking into consideration the clarification issued by the respondents themselves in August/October, 2018, hence the impugned order is liable to be set aside.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that on 29.11.2018, a bunch of writ petitions was decided by this Court wherein a direction was given to the respondents to pass an appropriate order deciding

the claim of the employees for the grant of 23 years promotional increment on the basis of the clarification as noticed above. The said order was passed in '**CWP No.1013 of 2017 titled Balvir Singh Vs. PSPCL and another**' and other connected cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that ignoring the earlier rejection of the case of the petitioner on 18.10.2018/26.11.2018 (Annexure P-16 Colly.) and in view of the subsequent Resolution passed by the respondent-Corporation as well as the order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No.1013 of 2017**, the case of the petitioner needs to be reconsidered.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-PSPCL and states that the respondent-Corporation will consider the case of the petitioner afresh in view of the subsequent event and the resolutions dated 21.08.2018 and 01.10.2018 and will pass a fresh order in respect of the claim of the petitioner for the grant of promotional increment after rendering 23 years of service.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the present writ petition can be disposed of in terms of order passed in **Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No.1013 of 2017**.

Keeping in view the prayer made by the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench in **Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No.1013 of 2017**.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

March 20, 2019

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether reportable:

No