

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13441-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 01.10.2019

Manpreet Singh alias Peeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Khiva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Amanpreet Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners has approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.42 dated 22.05.2014 registered under Sections 308, 148, 149 of IPC at Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion was issued.

In compliance of the order dated 26.03.2019 passed by this Court, the parties got their statements recorded before the learned trial court. Consequently, a report dated 11.09.2019 sent by the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Mansa, has been received which is available on record of the case along with the statements of the parties. Learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, has reported that the parties have made their statements voluntarily and without any pressure. The compromise arrived at between the

parties has been found to be a genuine one.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and after going through the record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of Court settlement by way of compromise. The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

For the aforesaid view, this Court finds support from **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**,

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned and to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.42 dated 22.05.2014 registered under Sections 308, 148, 149 of IPC at Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are ordered to be quashed, on the basis of compromise, however, qua the petitioner only.

Resultantly, with the above-said observations made, the instant petition stands allowed.

01.10.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No