

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.7748 of 2019
Date of decision : 20.03.2019

Pakhar Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.A.K.Khungar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.AG Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 4 for taking action respondent No.5 for causing loss to State exchequer by misappropriating panchayat funds.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director Rural Development & Panchayats, Punjab to consider and decide the complaints dated 15.1.2018 (Annexure P-2) and dated 30.1.2018 (Annexure P-3).

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.AG Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

Director Rural Development & Panchayats, Punjab to consider and decide

the complaints dated 15.01.2018 (Annexure P-2) and dated 30.1.2018 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

20.3.2019

Meenu

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No