

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-25049 of 2018

Date of Decision: March 27, 2019

Sarvjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present:- Petitioner in person with
Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

Respondent No.2 in person with
Mr. Mayank K. Bansal, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Report dated 18.09.2018 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Rohtak has been received whereby after recording statements of respondent/complainant-Anu and accused/petitioner Sarvjeet Singh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of their own free will, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Moreover, during the course of interaction with the parties, they have vouched for the settlement that has been arrived at between the them and prayed that the matter be quashed on the basis

of this compromise (Annexure P-2) and shall abide by the same.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the and the offence for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**' 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No. 271 dated 28.09.2016 registered at Police Station Old Sabji Mandi, Rohtak under Sections 354A(I) and 506 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

March 27, 2019

amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No