

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-12918 of 2019 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: March 20, 2019**

Rajesh Kumar Goel and another

...Petitioners

**VERSUS**

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr.Anurag Arora, Advocate for  
Mr.Gautam Dutt, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**INDERJIT SINGH, J.**

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to the respondents not to harass the petitioners by calling them to the police station and further not to exert unnecessary pressure on them, in connection with case FIR No.0116 dated 11.09.2017 under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.6, Jalandhar, in which they are not named in any capacity, though their son and daughter-in-law have been arrayed as accused.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that petitioners have given representation to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar. The perusal of this representation shows that challan has already been presented and trial is

pending before learned JMIC, Jalandhar. In the representation, it is stated that no action should be taken on the application of Sanjeev Kumar and it is further stated in the representation that no direction by the Court to re-investigate the case has been given and police be directed not to cause any harassment. Other facts have also been mentioned in the representation that they are not the Directors etc. of the company. It is also stated that police has no right to make further investigation once the challan has already been presented.

The perusal of the representation shows that grievance of the petitioners is that the police may further investigate the matter and as per representation, they say that the police has no right to further investigate the case. As per law, the police has right to further investigate the matter and it is for the trial court to see whether further investigation has been conducted as per law or not. In no way, it can be held that further investigation cannot be conducted. At this stage, there is only apprehension of the present petitioners. There is nothing in the representation that the police has ever called the petitioners or harassed them. No such type of particulars have been mentioned in the representation.

In view of the above discussion, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

**March 20, 2019**  
Vgulati

**(INDERJIT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

**Yes**  
**No**