

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.: 274

Criminal Miscellaneous No.M-12981 of 2019
Date of Decision: May 23, 2019

Sukhwinder Singh

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

..... RESPONDENTS

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Bhatti, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

. . .

Raj Shekhar Atttri, J (Oral)

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.66 dated 12.04.2018 under Sections 406, 498-A, Police Station, City Batala, District Batala and proceedings emanating therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 – Monika Kumari. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise Annexure P-2.

Vide order dated 20.03.2019, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter, without any coercion or pressure.

Counsel for the State and respondent No.2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioner and respondent No.2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & another, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinabove, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed. FIR No.66 dated 12.04.2018 under Sections 406, 498-A, Police Station, City Batala, District Batala and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioner.

May 23, 2019
avin

(Raj Shekhar Attri)
Judge

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/ No