

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-24754-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision:13.02.2019

Vishal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.75 dated 04.05.2018, under Sections 307, 452, 506, 148, 149 and 427 of the Indian Penal Code ('IPC' – for short) registered at Police Station PAU, Ludhiana.

On 04.06.2018, this Court had passed the following order:-

“It is, inter alia, submitted that the petitioner though named in FIR No. 75 dated 04.05.2018 under Sections 307, 452, 506, 148, 149, 427 IPC registered at Police Station PAU, Ludhiana City is not attributed with any overt act. CRM-M-24472-2018 filed by similarly situated co-accused is listed for 12.09.2018.

Notice of motion for 12.09.2018.

To be taken up alongwith CRM-M-24472-2018.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer and shall fully cooperate in the investigation of this case. In the event of his arrest,

petitioner shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer. Petitioner shall comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Partap Singh submits that the petitioner has failed to join the investigation pursuant to the order reproduced above, despite repeated opportunities.

In view of the above and also the fact that no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner for last three dates, it can safely be presumed that neither the petitioner nor his counsel is interested in pursuing the instant petition.

Dismissed.

13.02.2019

sheetal

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No