

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24703-2018
DATE OF DECISION:-31.01.2019**

PREM SINGH

...PETITIONER...

V.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. C.L. Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Multani, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate,
for respondent No.7.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Kaura, Advocate,
for respondents No.8 and 9.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 & 3 not to call the petitioner in the office of respondent No.2 at the behest of respondents No.7 to 9, with further prayer to mark the inquiry of this case to some IPS Officer at Ludhiana.

Since, the statement of petitioner has already been recorded by respondent No.2, therefore, he would not be called again and only action would be taken, if required under law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner referring to judgment titled

as "*Jatt Ram vs. Punjab State Human Rights Commission and another*" passed in CWP No.18237 of 2013, decided on 18.05.2015, contends that National Commission of Scheduled Castes and Schedules Tribes cannot direct the police authorities to recommend/transfer of investigation.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the above proposition of law laid down in the aforesaid authority, but has contended that investigation is not being conducted by Bureau of Investigation under any SC/ST Commission. Rather, Bureau of Investigation conducted independent inquiry on the complaint of Ashok Kumar-respondent No.9.

In view of the above factual aspect of the case, instant petition is dismissed.

31.01.2019
sonika

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned:
whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No