

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7755 of 2019**

**Date of decision : 20.03.2019**

**Hardeep Kaur**

**...Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**Union of India and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

**Present: Mr.Arnab Kumar Sood, Advocate for the petitioner.**

**Ms.Amrita Singh, Central Government Counsel for UOI.**

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing the official respondents to conduct enquiry and to take appropriate action against respondent Nos.4 and 5 for embezzlement of public money under Mahatma Gandhi Village Employment Scheme (MANREGA).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana to consider and decide the complaint dated 21.9.2018 (Annexure P-3).

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Ms.Amrita Singh, Central Government counsel for UOI in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana to consider and decide the complaint dated 21.9.2018 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

**20.3.2019**  
Meenu

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No