

SATNAM SINGH

...*PETITIONER*

VS.

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER (REVENUE) AND OTHERS

...*RESPONDENTS*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate,
for Ms. Meenakshi Dhiman, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

In the light of the fact that an application for restoration of the stay order and for hearing the main revision petition i.e. ROR No. 699 of 2017 preferred by the petitioner, in which notice for consideration has been issued for 03.04.2019 on which date, the revision application is also listed, counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to press his claim before the Financial Commissioner.

In the light of the facts and circumstances of the present case, the present writ petition is disposed of as not pressed with observations that the Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Punjab, shall make an endeavour to decide the revision petition on the said date and at least pass some order on the application which has been moved by the petitioner for restoring the stay order, which was vacated on 15.01.2019 because the counsel for the petitioner could not appear before the Court.

March 18, 2019

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No