

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12530 of 2019

Date of decision: May 09, 2019

Sukhdeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.141 dated 13.07.2018, under Sections 120-B/420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran.

Status report by way of affidavit of Azad Davinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Patti, District Tarn Taran filed by the learned State counsel, today in Court, is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

On 27.03.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Mr. Joginder Pal Devgan, Advocate appears and files vakalatnama on behalf of complainant, same is taken on record. Learned counsel for the complainant wishes to place on record some documents.

Permitted to do so.

On his request, adjourned to 02.05.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of

his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official who has come to assist him has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of order dated 27.03.2019 and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 27.03.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

May 09, 2019
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: **Yes**

Whether Reportable: **No**