

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23179 of 2017.

Decided on:- December 16, 2019.

Balwinder Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. K.S. Dargan, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate for
respondents No.5 to 20.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC for issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to safeguard the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of respondents No.5 to 20, who are influential persons and are pressurising the petitioner to compromise the matter.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh, submits that after filing of the present petition, the petitioner and respondents No.5 to 20 have compromised the matter.

Learned counsel for respondents No.5 to 20 states that as per his instructions, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties about a year back.

Status report by way of affidavit of Harpreet Singh, PPS, DSP, Sub Division Ajnala, District Amritsar (Rural) has already been placed on

record on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. As per the status report, on receipt of notice in the present case, respondent No.4 again made his efforts to verify the veracity of the allegations of the petitioner, but she did not come to the police station to give her statement despite repeated calls to her. Thereafter, the respondent No.4 came to know from respondents No.5 to 9 that the petitioner has compromised the matter with them and they also produced a copy of the compromise signed by the petitioner, her relatives and other respectable of the village to respondent No.4. Then, the respondent No.4 also verified the factum of compromise from the petitioner and she admitted that the compromise has been effected and she does not want to take any action against respondents No.5 to 20.

In view of the above, this Court finds that despite there being a compromise between the parties and the petitioner being a signatory of the same, has not opted to inform the Court about the same. Therefore, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

Ordered accordingly.

December 16, 2019

Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No