

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202-2 cases

CRM-M-23973-2016 (O & M)
Date of Decision:09.05.2019

Kamlesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajinder Kumar and another

...Respondents

2.

CRM-M-26138-2016 (O & M)
Date of Decision:09.05.2019

Aklesh Kumari @ Alkesh Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajinder Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-23973-2016 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-26138-2016.

Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Sarwara, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-26138-2016 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-23973-2016.

Mr. P.S. Paul, Advocate
for respondent No.1/complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

These two separate petitions were filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. by accused persons to challenge separately impugned complaint No.168 dated 15.06.2006 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 467/468/471/120-B IPC as well as the summoning order, which was upheld by the Revisional Court vide order dated 28.04.2016 (Annexure P-3).

Notice of motion was issued on 23.07.2016 in CRM-M-23973-2016 and on 02.08.2016 in CRM-M-26138-2016.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate has apprised the Court that during the pendency of the petitions, the parties have entered into compromise and the dispute between them stands amicably settled. He has produced the affidavit of the complainant and the translated copy of the same. The same are taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant does not dispute this fact and states that indeed the parties have entered into a compromise. He further states that the complainant/respondent would be withdrawing his complaint (Annexure P-1).

In view of the above, these petitions challenging the impugned complaint and summoning order on merits are rendered infructuous and disposed off as such.

09.05.2019

sheetal

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No