

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23038-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:21.08.2019**

Achin Khanna

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Gloucester Pharma through its Proprietor

...Respondent

CRM-M-23039-2017 (O&M)

Achin Khanna

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Ventin Pharma through its Proprietor

...Respondent

CRM-M-23043-2017 (O&M)

Achin Khanna

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Ritu Bansal

...Respondent

CRM-M-23046-2017 (O&M)

Achin Khanna

...Petitioner

Versus

Devi Sharan

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.G.S.Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Vishal Handa, Advocate for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

CRM-1927-2019

Application is allowed. Documents are permitted to be taken
on record.

CRM stands disposed of.

Main case

By this order, four petitions bearing CRM-MNos.23038, 23039, 23043 and 23046 of 2017 shall stand disposed of.

Challenge in all these four petitions is to the order passed by the learned trial court, dismissing applications under Section 311 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, which has been affirmed in the revision petition.

The petitioner-accused wants to recall CW-1. The courts below have found that CW-1 has already been cross-examined on two occasions at length. Still further, learned revisional court has observed that no ground is made out to recall the witness. The revisional court has also observed that the accused can produce the documents, if any, so required during its defence evidence.

In view of the aforesaid observations, this Court does not find any good ground to interfere in exercise of its powers under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure particularly when the petitioner has already failed in the revision. Consequently, all these four petitions are dismissed.

21.08.2019
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No