

111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.677 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 21.05.2019

Satish Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana & anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Abhishek Sindhvani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed challenging the order dated 11.03.2019 whereby an application moved by the petitioner-accused for summoning certain persons as defense witnesses was declined.

The trial Court has given cogent reasons why the witnesses being sought to be summoned are not required.

Counsel on a previous date had argued that there is one document marked D-5 which was necessary to be got proved and on a previous date I had asked him to place a copy thereof on the record. The same has now been brought on record by way of **CRM-14109-2019** and is annexed as Annexure P-7 with that application.

I find that this is an unmeaning document.

Counsel has not been able to show what relevance that document has to the present case.

In the totality of circumstances, I am not persuaded that the findings of fact recorded by the courts below are either based on no evidence or based on such a perverse misreading of evidence so as to justify the interference of this Court.

Petition stands dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

21.05.2019
pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No