

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.23727 of 2018 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 02.04.2019**

Baljeet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present:** Mr. J.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)**

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Vide order dated 30.05.2018, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda was directed to personally look into the matter and submit the report.

The affidavit of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda dated 20.08.2018 is already on record and as per the affidavit, an enquiry was conducted and in the enquiry, it is found that on the basis of the statement all the concerned person, the call location of the cell phone numbers of the petitioner and the police officials i.e. respondents No.4 to 7 were obtained and recorded voices was heard and no truth was found in the allegations levelled by the petitioner. It is further stated that on an earlier occasion, the petitioner filed an application against respondent No.7, which was enquired into vide enquiry dated 24.04.2018, after recording the statement of the members

of the Gram Panchayat and respectables of Nagar Panchayat, village Mandi Kalan, it was found that the petitioner and his family are indulged in drugs business. It is further stated that the husband of the petitioner namely Vishal Sharma, is a convict for 10 years in FIR No.135 dated 24.07.2012 registered under Section 22, 61, 85 of the NDPS Act at Police Station City-1, Mansa and he is an undertrial in another FIR No.17 dated 20.04.2017 registered under Sections 21, 22, 61, 85 of the NDPS Act.

It was concluded in the enquiry that the allegations levelled by the petitioner that the police has taken away the CCTV or DVR equipments was not proved.

In view of the above, no further action is called for.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**02.04.2019**  
*yakub*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No