

CRM-M-12203-2019

Date of Decision : 14.11.2019

Badamo

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH****Present:** Mr. Sanjeev Manrai, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Kuldeep K. Sarthi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana  
for the respondent-State.**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf  
of the complainant and filed *vakalatnama* which is taken on record.

This is second regular bail application under Section 439  
Cr.P.C. filed by accused/petitioner-Badamo (the earlier one having  
been dismissed by this Court on 16.10.2018).

Heard counsel for both the sides and perused the  
records.

The complainant-Rishi Kumar, brother of deceased-  
Priyanka, alleges in his complaint that his sister was married on

12.03.2018 with Satyanarain @ Mahesh, where they had given sufficient dowry more than their economic status. It is alleged that after one month of the marriage, the accused family including the petitioner/mother-in-law-Badamo Devi and others were not happy with the same and started harassing the deceased for more dowry and often, physically and mentally tortured her and as a consequence of which, the deceased confided the same in her family including the complainant. On 06.07.2018, the deceased gave a telephonic call and disclosed that she was physically assaulted by her mother-in-law the present petitioner, sister-in-law-Anju, husband-Satyanarain @ Mahesh and brother-in-law-Sita Ram and when the complainant reached the house of the deceased, he found the house locked from inside and the dead body of Priyanka, hanging from the roof.

Mr. Sanjeev Manrai, the learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Kuldeep K. Sarthi, counsel for the petitioner have contended that the petitioner is behind the bars since 01 year and 04 months and nothing is suggestive as to specific role by the petitioner in the commission of offence and the allegations are general and vague, to hammer home the point that the story, so claimed, is concocted and there is nothing suggestive in the postmortem report as to physical injuries on the dead body.

The learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sushil Kumar, Police Station City, Bhiwani, has strongly opposed the

grant of bail arguing that the petitioner/mother-in-law is the principal accused and was instrumental in demand of dowry, physical and mental cruelty and, therefore, if allowed bail, would be not conducive for fair trial.

A young girl within a little less than 04 months of her marriage has died an unnatural death at her matrimonial home. There are allegations of demand of dowry, cruelty and harassment by the accused. The petitioner happens to be the mother-in-law whose earlier bail application was declined by this Court. No fresh ground has arisen necessitating allowing the bail to the present petitioner and finding no merit, the present petition stands dismissed at this stage.

**14.11.2019**

*Neha*

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No