

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12535 of 2019
DECIDED ON: 18.03.2019

SONU KUMAR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Ms. D.S. Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. has been made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case, FIR No.264 dated 29.09.2018, registered under Section 22-C of NDPS Act, 1985, Police Station Sadar Dabwali, District Sirsa.

According to the prosecution, on the basis of secret information, 1950 intoxicant tablets and 200 capsules were recovered from the co-accused of the petitioner namely Raj Kumar @ Raju, who on interrogation, disclosed the name of the petitioner as his accomplice and that petitioner has also contributed ₹4000/- equally to purchase the said banned drugs.

Heard.

This Court is conscious of the fact that in several cases, in which person is not apprehended at the spot and is made accused on the basis of disclosure statement of arrested person, has granted the relief

of anticipatory bail, considering various factors i.e. small quantity of contraband recovered from his co-accused and various other factors. But, the case of the petitioner cannot be treated at par with those cases, considering the heavy recovery of contraband from the co-accused of the petitioner and also the fact that future career of the youth of the States of Haryana and Punjab is being ruined by such type of smugglers like the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner does not deserve any concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

18.03.2019

sonika

(RAMENDRA JAIN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No