

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.132

**CRM-M-12116 of 2019
DECIDED ON: March 18, 2019**

PANKAJ KHURANA AND ANOTHER

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the impugned FIR has been registered on account of a dispute between brothers. Earlier, also an FIR has been registered based on an alleged agreement to sell in which the petitioners have been granted protection by this Court. Thus, similar protection may be granted in this case also.

The petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to comply with the provisions of Section 41-A Cr.P.C. while investigating the impugned FIR.

March 18, 2019

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No