

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(115)

CWP-7220-2019

Date of Decision: April 01, 2019

Rampiara Sathi and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shashi Kumar Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that Rule 2(k) of the Punjab Panchayat Samiti and Zila Parishad Employees Pension and Provident Fund Rules, 2000, has been interpreted by this Court to mean that all the service rendered by an employee is to be counted and not only the service for which the provident fund was deducted, keeping in view the condition laid down by this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioners have not been given the benefit of said law and are being forced to approach this Court for the grant of same relief.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioners have served the respondents with a legal notice dated 21.01.2019 (Annexure P-3) and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 21.01.2019 (Annexure P-3) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the legal notice dated 21.01.2019 (Annexure P-3), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 21.01.2019 (Annexure P-3) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

April 01, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No