

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-2720-2000 and
XOBJC-40-CII-2002 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.11.2019

Rakesh Kumar

..... Appellant

Versus

Mastan Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: None for the appellant.

Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Advocate for
for Ashit Malik, Advocate
for respondent No. 1, 2 and 2A-cross-objector.

Mr. RM Suri, Advocate for respondent No. 2B-Insurance Co.

RAMENDRA JAIN, (ORAL)

Despite the case having been called twice, the appellant has gone un-represented.

Perusal of file shows that since last 5 dates, none has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. It was specifically observed in the order dated 22.05.2018 that in case, none would appear on behalf of the appellant on the adjourned date, this appeal shall stand dismissed for want of prosecution. Despite said specific observation, none had put in appearance on behalf of the appellant since last two dates i.e. 06.02.2019 and 13.08.2019.

Therefore, it can safely be presumed that the appellant or his counsel is not interested in pursuing the instant appeal.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

Since, the main appeal is dismissed, therefore XOBJC-40-CII-2002, also stands dismissed.

November 26, 2019

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No