

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22758-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.09.2019

Sanjeev Singh Chauhan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Anshuman Dalal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Lalit K. Gupta, Advocate, for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

A reply of the Superintendent of Police, Panipat, having been filed on the last date of hearing by the learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner strenuously submits that a large number of tractors already having been recovered from the co-accused of the petitioner, Matender Nagar, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required two years thereafter.

In my opinion, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, the allegation being that he along with Matender Nagar and other two co-accused had duped a large number of people who leased the tractors to Matender Nagar, which were subsequently sold off; and also keeping in view the antecedents of the

petitioner (again without making any comment on the actual merits of the case), I see no reason to entertain this petition, which is consequently dismissed, with the interim order vacated.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

05.09.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: No