

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7852-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.09.2019

Hanuman Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. A.K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied if this writ petition be treated as representation and a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director, Department of Elementary Education, Haryana, Panchkula, to decide the same within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and de-merits of the case, I dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to respondents to consider representation and take appropriate decision thereon, in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

It is made clear that in case, decision is not taken within the time frame, there shall be costs of ₹25,000/- to be paid to petitioner. In case, the claim of petitioner is to be rejected, then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to petitioner. This condition of imposing

costs is only to prevent petitioner to run from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

12.09.2019
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No