

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.11839 of 2019
Date of decision: 14th May, 2019

Jitender @ Jeetu & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Prashant S. Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ripudaman, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent No.1/State.

Ms. Ekta, Advocate for

Mr. Baljeet Baniwal, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 10.05.2019 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Rewari has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Kajal, injured Ashwani Yadav and the accused persons, namely Jitender alias Jeetu, Kunal and Sandeep alias Gaddha, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Learned counsel for the private respondents No.2 and 3 as well as learned counsel for the accused/petitioners have made statement that as per instructions received, the parties had given final effect to their agreement settlement/compromise (Annexure P2) dated 09.03.2019 and

that nothing is due towards anyone on account of this settlement and that the final effect be given to this petition.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the offenses for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.132 dated 23.12.2018 under Sections 323, 34, 354-A, 354-B, 452, 506 IPC pertaining to Police Station Women, District Rewari and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 14, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No