

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CRM-M-11602-2019
Decided on: 13.03.2019**

Neera and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Coram: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

Present: Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

Both the petitioners are present in person and identified by their counsel. They seek protection of their life and liberty by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority, have married each other against the wishes of their respective family members/respondents No.3 to 9 and so seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They submitted a representation (Annexure P-3) in this regard to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna on 11.03.2019, but are still apprehensive about their security in view of the apparent inaction and alleged clout of their family members/respondents.

For the aforesaid reasons, this appears to be a fit case for this Court to invoke the inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. and in view of the mandate contained in Article 21 of the Constitution of India to protect the citizen's right to life and liberty.

Notice of motion.

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 -State and Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate, accepts

notice on behalf of private respondent and they have no objection, if the present petition is allowed.

Thus, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna, is directed to consider the representation dated 11.03.2019 (Annexure-P-3) and take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is nevertheless clarified that this order is issued only on the premise that the petitioners have crossed the age of majority as seen from the documents placed on record being Aadhaar Cards of the petitioners No.1 & 2 (Annexures P-1 & P-2). This would not *ipso facto* amount to granting any seal of approval on the legality of their marriage which essentially would come in the domain of the concerned Matrimonial Courts. Further, they would not be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

The petition is disposed off with the above direction.

13.03.2019

hemlata

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>