

(305) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.1782 of 2019

Date of decision: 16.05.2019

Surender Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jugal Kishore and others

.... Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Relan, Advocate for respondent No.1.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Mr. Sanjay Relan, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 and file vakalatnama in Court today. The same is taken on record.

[2] No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

[3] Learned counsel for respondent No.1 contends that the civil suit out of which revision petition arises was dismissed on account of non-appearance of the petitioner/plaintiff on 11.03.2019 i.e. the date when the case was fixed for rebuttal evidence, if any, and arguments in view of counsel for the plaintiff having pleaded no instructions from his client. Copy of the order duly signed by learned counsel for respondent No.1 is taken on record. Learned counsel states that in the circumstances, the revision petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

[4] In view of the statement of learned counsel for respondent No.1, the revision petition is disposed of as having become infructuous

while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the revision petition in case any cause arises.

16.05.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

1.

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No.
2.

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No.