

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7152-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.03.2019

Arjun Singh and others

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to count daily-wage service rendered by them prior to regularization towards additional increments/ACP, etc.

On the oral request made my learned counsel for the petitioners, Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, is impleaded as respondent No.4. The Registry is directed to carry out necessary correction in the memo of parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.4, to consider and decide legal notice dated 11.06.2018 (Annexure P-4), served by them.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4- Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to consider and decide legal notice dated 11.06.2018 (Annexure P-4) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

15.03.2019
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No