

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.: 235

Criminal Miscellaneous No.M-11879 of 2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision: April 22, 2019

Simranjeet Kaur & another

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Punjab & others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

...

PRESENT: - None for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior Deputy Advocate
General, Punjab.

. . .

AJAY TEWARI, J (Oral)

As per report, respondent Nos.4 and 5 could not be
served as address is stated to be incomplete.

Notice issued to Shiv Mandir, Manimajra (Chandigarh),
Near Housing Board (Panchkula) could also not be served and report is
that name of the person to whom the notice is to be served, has not
been mentioned.

A perusal of the petition reveals that this Court had
initiated action because petitioner No.1 was two months short of 18

years on the date of marriage. Resultantly, I do not deem it appropriate to continue this petition any further.

Disposed of.

April 22, 2019
avin

(Ajay Tewari)
Judge

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/ No