

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 7192 of 2019
Date of decision :19.03.2019

Narender Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. P.K. Sehrawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain, AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is participating for the post of PGT History and is seeking quashing of impugned answer key (Annexure P-7 colly).

With respect to objection of the petitioner to question No. 51 of set-B, in the case of *Sarita V/s. State of Haryana and another CWP-6054-2019*, which has been decided today itself, learned counsel for the State has filed affidavit alongwith the detailed chart (Annexure R-2/1). As per this chart, the correct answer to question No. 51 of Set-B is D as per the final answer key and the answer as per objection was B and D Since, the petitioner had given answer B to question No. 51, his objection is rejected qua this question.

Dismissed.

19.03.2019
Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:
:

Yes/No
Yes/No